

Im a military member and think that Proceeding #96-45, would harm many people in more ways that known. In the Military family is very Important, when I went to Saudi Arabia, computers and E-mail helped me get messages to my family on a regular basis. Im agains Proceedgin #96-45.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Charles Holmes**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **cholmes@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **209 Schilling Drive**
Address Line 2:
City: **Whiteman AFB** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **65305** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number:
Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/10/98 18:40:21** Date Filed:
Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released:
Date Accepted:
Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998110440881**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I don't want to pay more for my internet/telephone access. Any idea submitted to the FCC suggesting any such idea should be fervently scrutinized and subsequently trashed.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Sam Stokes**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email:
Address Line 1: **1427 S Carr**
Address Line 2:
City: **Sedalia** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **65301** Postal Code: **7057**
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number:
Exparte Late Filed:
File Number:
Date Submission: **1/10/98 18:56:02** Date Filed:
Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released:
Date Accepted:
Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998110866698**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998


















**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

To whom it may concern,
I believe that the proposed additional fees that are to be levied on
phone-users and/or ISP's are ridiculous and are obviously bad for
the economy and in essence put us behind other countries whose
citizens can get internet access at a reasonable rate. I think
that if you really care about this country, you will reconsider
this proposal.
Thank you,
Sam Stokes

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE**  Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Daniel J. Farren III**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name: 
Lawfirm Name: 
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **farrend@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **1109 Fox Run Drive**
Address Line 2: 
City: **Warrensburg** State: **MO** 
Zip Code: **64093** Postal Code: 
Submission Type: **7**  Submission Status: **ACCEPTED**  Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** 
Subject: 
DA Number:  Exparte Late Filed:  File Number: 
Date Submission: **1/10/98 19:08:43** Date Filed:  Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released:  Date Accepted:  Date Disseminated: 
Confirmation # **1998110157401**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

In reference to your efforts to propose a per-minute telephone use charge for accessing local Internet services providers.

Any attempt to charge for local calls to Internet service providers to obtain contracted services is totally unacceptable!

We are paying fees to our service providers to access this important source of data. The idea to pay additional funds to access the Internet through analog lines is simply ridiculous. What's next-per minute charges for Cable TV access?

Efforts to target consumers will have an impact in the short term. Small Internet service providers will be the ones who suffer the most. Many of these companies carved a niche out of the information superhighway by offering low costs, unlimited access to those of us who do not have access or cannot afford ISDN, T-1, or T-3 connections. Demands for per-minute phone charges will go along way towards putting them out of business. (Is this the intent of your friends in the telecommunications industry?)

Many Internet service has been painfully aware of the laws of supply and demand and has been continually upgrading equipment to support us - the consumer.

America On-Line especially learned an especially hard lesson on supply, demand, and supportability. Unfortunately many telecommunications companies did not forecast the demand, and are now behind the powercurve.

Per minute charges for local phone access is a desperate way to generate funds to

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Lynn Shoemaker**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **shoemakr@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **412 W 6th**
Address Line 2:
City: **Sedalia** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **65301** Postal Code: **4213**
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number:
Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/10/98 19:17:34** Date Filed:
Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released:
Date Accepted:
Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998110961912**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

It sounds as if now that the pie baking is all done, someone new wants to come in to eat it all up, or at least get the biggest piece of it that they possibly can. I say forget it!

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Aaron Miller**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email:
Address Line 1: **3405 Marino Court Apt#1**
Address Line 2:
City: **Middleton** State: **WI** ☒
Zip Code: **53562** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 22:56:51** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111137090**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I would like to say that I am in strong protest of the proposed changes. These changes I believe will make internet service unaffordable to many people who currently use it. Students who use it for education, people who use it to buy items, and people who use it for enjoyment would no longer be able to do these things.

And this would definitely have a negative affect on the economy. The computer skills that many people have learned because of the internet will make them better workers in the future. Technology should be available to all. Many of us do not have a choice of who to have phone or internet service with. Let the market system

work this out, big brother government is not needed!

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Terry W Myers
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: tmyers@megabits.net
Address Line 1: 1105 Cleveland St.
Address Line 2:
City: Titusville State: FL ☐
Zip Code: 32780 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 ☐ Submission Status: ACCEPTED ☐ Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED ☐
Subject:
DA Number: Ex parte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 23:15:28 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111308409

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45

1/12/98

I object to any ruling by the FCC that will
result in any "per minute" charges being
levied on individual end-users by any means...
directly or indirectly.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 2
Applicant Name: Jeff Leeman
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email:
Address Line 1: 1400 S Limit
Address Line 2:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

City: Sedalia State: MO
Zip Code: 65301 Postal Code: 0000
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: RECEIVED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

DA Number:
Exparte Late Filed:
File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 23:36:44 Date Filed:
Date Rcpt:
Date Released:
Date Accepted:
Date Disseminated:
Confirmation #
Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 2 of 2
Applicant Name: Jeff Leeman
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email:
Address Line 1: 1400 S Limit
Address Line 2:

City: Sedalia State: MO
Zip Code: 65301 Postal Code: 0000
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:

DA Number:
Exparte Late Filed:
File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 23:37:21 Date Filed:
Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released:
Date Accepted:
Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111471228

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

As I see it, here's the issue: Local phone companies in general want the ISP business to themselves. They're claiming that all this Internet use is overloading their systems -- while simultaneously running to open their own ISPs (which of course would NOT be subject to these charges). Obviously they think the ISP business is worth pursuing, they just want to price everyone else out of the market.

If these access charges go through, most users Internet access will cost significantly more -- either directly, by the telephone company's charging us more for our phone line(s), or indirectly, by ISPs raising their rates in order to cover their additional costs.

Want some scary numbers? Long distance companies pay \$.06/min access charges to local telephone companies. If similar charges were placed on Internet calls, users who spend an average of 60 minutes per day on-line would see their monthly bill go up ~\$108/month. That's not small change.

This doesn't sound right or fair! With virtual packet switching, the phone companies use, there is more than enough bandwidth. Have you encounter any problems trying to make a phone call and not having the service due to too much Internet usage at the time??!

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Kimmel Hill**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **khill@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **313 Houx Rd**
Address Line 2:
City: **Whiteman AFB** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **65305** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☐ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☐ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☐
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 22:39:31** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111497588**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

**96-45
1/12/98**

I am strongly opposed to 96-45. Ther is no question in my mind that it would be a disasterous mistake to let phone companies interfere with the services provided by the already existing ISPs.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **George R. Thompson Jr.**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **rthompso@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **P.O. Box 1665**
Address Line 2:
City: **Sedalia** State: **MO** ☒
Zip Code: **65302** Postal Code: **1665**
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 22:34:24** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111503252**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

I oppose per minute phone charges currently under consideration
under proceeding # 96-45.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Dan Eckert**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **blastman@ptialaska.net**
Address Line 1: **1515 Stellar Dr.**
Address Line 2:
City: **Kenai** State: **AK** ☐
Zip Code: **99611** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☐ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☐ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☐
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 22:32:49** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111808573**

**DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
RECEIVED**

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

**96-45
1/12/98**

RECEIVED

I am very much opposed to this legislation. In no way should the telephone companies be empowered to collect additional revenues from us for internet service. We already pay more than enough!

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE

Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: Jeff Wallace

Proceeding Name: 96-45

Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name

Contact Email:

Address Line 1: 19023 Butterbaugh ford road

Address Line 2:

City: Sedalia

State: MO

Zip Code: 65301

Postal Code:

Submission Type: 7

Submission Status: ACCEPTED

Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed:

File Number:

Date Submission: 1/11/98 22:22:31

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998111957927

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

I pay a set fee for my phone service. This set fee allows me to call anywhere I want within my area without paying a long distance toll. You may claim that you are making internet providers pay more, but you are well aware that the extra money will be directed to the consumers. Obviously, this is another piece of work from our fine government officials who care about the constituents.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **harry steele**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **hlassoc@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **304 s. state**
Address Line 2:
City: **knob noster** State: **MO** ☒
Zip Code: **65336** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 21:32:35** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111717328**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

I think that you should not approve this measure, as it would
increase rates and not provide any better service, just line the
pockets of the phone companies. DO NOT DO THIS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!PLEASE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**